

By Melissa Garriga

From [Consortium News](#) | Original Article

U.S. military aggression and imperial ambitions leave a trail of natural destruction — all under the guise of national security

On Earth Day Monday, prepare for the annual spectacle of U.S. lawmakers donning their environmentalist hats, waxing poetic about their love for the planet while disregarding the devastation their actions wreak.

The harsh reality is that alongside their hollow pledges lies a trail of destruction fueled by military aggression and imperial ambitions, all under the guise of national security.

Take Gaza, for instance. Its once-fertile farmland now lies barren, its water sources poisoned by conflict and neglect. The grim statistics speak volumes: [97 percent of Gaza's water is unfit for human](#) consumption, leading to a staggering 26 percent of illnesses, particularly among vulnerable children.

Israel's decades-long colonial settler project and ethnic cleansing of Palestine have caused irrefutable damage to the land, air and water, consequently contributing to the climate crisis.

In fact, in the first two months of the current genocide campaign in Gaza, Israel's murderous bombardment, which has killed nearly 35,000 people, has also [generated more planet-warming emissions](#) than the annual carbon footprint of the world's top 20 climate-vulnerable nations.

Yet, despite these dire circumstances, U.S. lawmakers persist in funneling weapons to Israel,

perpetuating a cycle of violence and environmental degradation.

The ripple effects of militarism extend far beyond Gaza's borders. In Ukraine, the Russia-Ukraine War has left a [staggering \\$56.4 billion environmental bill](#), with widespread contamination of air, water, and soil.

[Landmines and unexploded ordnance litter 30 percent](#) of the country, posing long-term risks to both the environment and human health.

The United States' answer to all this has been to reject diplomacy and fuel a long, protracted war with a seamingling endless supply of weapons and military support. A war that most experts will tell you is not a winnable war. The proxy war the United States is funding not only leaves Ukrainians at risk of never achieving peace but also significantly contributes to the ever-growing climate crisis.

Then, there is the U.S. government's desire to go to war with China. The U.S. military's heavy footprint already looms large in the Pacific, and with the war drums now beating harder for war than ever before, the footprint is growing.

With over 200 bases dotting the region, the [Pentagon's voracious energy consumption fuels greenhouse gas emissions](#) and environmental degradation, from [polluted drinking water in Okinawa](#) to severe contamination near military installations [in Guam](#).

Yet, the U.S. government insists that it is China that is its greatest enemy and not the looming threat of climate destruction. The U.S. military's presence in the Pacific is destroying natural, indigenous ecosystems, favoring the idea of environmental destruction over attempting any form of diplomacy and cooperation with China.

All of this destruction to the environment and acceleration of the climate crisis happens silently under the veil of "national security," while discussions on how the environmental toll of war is the most significant national security threat are absent in D.C.

While the threat of nuclear annihilation and civilian casualties rightfully dominate headlines, the ecological fallout remains an underreported tragedy.

The Pentagon is the [planet's largest institutional emitter of fossil fuels](#); its insatiable appetite for conflict exacerbates climate change and threatens ecosystems worldwide. To make matters worse, the U.S. government wants to fund this destruction to the [tune of nearly a trillion dollars a year](#) while poor and low-wealth communities worldwide bear the brunt of climate catastrophes with little to no resources to protect themselves.

At the heart of this destructive cycle lies a perverse economic incentive, where war becomes a lucrative business at the expense of both people and the planet. The narrative of gross domestic product growth masks the actual cost of conflict, prioritizing financial profit over genuine progress in education, healthcare, and biodiversity.

Instead of war-economy metrics such as the GDP, we could embrace alternative metrics such as the [genuine progress indicator \(GPI\)](#) that reckon with the actual toll of war on our world.

We can shift from endless growth towards genuine well-being by valuing air quality, food security, and environmental sustainability.

This Earth Day, let us reject the empty rhetoric of environmentalism without action. Let us demand accountability from our lawmakers and insist on an end to the cycle of violence and ecological devastation. By prioritizing peace and sustainability, we can protect our planet and safeguard future generations.

Melissa Garriga is the communications and media relations manager for CODEPINK. She writes about the intersection of militarism and the human cost of war.

